#### Coastal Sediment Transport Cross-Shore

Prof. Dano Roelvink



# Contents

- Overview of problems
- Bed shear stress by waves and current
- Sediment transport by waves and current
- Longshore sediment transport
- Coastline changes
- Cross-shore sediment transport
- Dune erosion



#### CROSS-SHORE TRANSPORT

#### onshore / offshore transport



$$S_{y} = \frac{1}{t_{0}} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \int_{0}^{h} v_{y}(z, t) \cdot c(z, t) dz dt$$

 $V_y$ : function of z and t (difficult)

(wave period scale) (orbital motion)

- C : function of z and t (impossible)
- (wave period scale)

# Equilibrium profile?

- Real equilibrium only for constant conditions
  - May be possible in wave flume
- In the field:
  - Varying wave conditions
  - Tidal variations



• Dynamic equilibrium: overall profile shape does not change too much



# Yearly profiles North-Holland





# Cross-shore profile models

- Assumptions
  - Cross-shore processes dominate
  - Longshore variations very gradual
  - Wave-averaged
- Development since the '80s
  - Crostran
  - Litprof
  - Unibest-TC
- Focus on behaviour of:
  - Longshore bars
  - Effect of nourishments
  - Effect of submerged breakwaters



#### Principles of a cross-shore profile model

- wave distribution across surf zone
- roller energy
- wave setup
- longshore and cross-shore velocity profiles
- estimates of skewness, asymmetry
- sediment transport f(Hrms,u,v,...)
- profile change due to cross-shore transport
- total longshore transport for use in coastline model



# Boers test of wave heights, setup, mean current vs 2DV model (Duoc Nguyen)





Solid line: model results

dots: experimental data

# Bagnold/Bailard approach

- cross-shore transport is function of velocity moments
- these result from interactions between
  - orbital velocity and superharmonics
  - orbital velocity and mean flow
  - wave groups and associated long waves
- can be estimated using e.g. Stokes theory and other local models
- simplification makes cross-shore transport computation 'doable'
- not very accurate



#### Skewness and asymmetry

Skewed Asymmetric



Fig. 4.2 Left panel: Stokes like free stream velocity generated with eq. (4.26) for Tp = 8 s,  $\sigma(u_{\infty})$  m/s, N=10 and  $\Phi = 0$ . Right panel: Similar but for a saw tooth shape free stream velocity obtained with  $\Phi = 90^{\circ}$ 



# Skewness and asymmetry

- Outside the breakerzone, waves are typically skewed (onshore velocity > offshore velocity)
- Important when transport is related to u^n
- Inside breakerzone, waves are more asymmetric (sawtoothshaped)
- Important when transport is related to acceleration
  - Pressure gradient induced (Hoefel and Elgar)
  - Boundary layer thickness (Nielsen and Callaghan)
  - Phase lags in concentration (e.g. Ribberink and Chen)





Fig. 4.5 Upper panel: Cross-shore wave height distribution. 2nd panel: corresponding  $S_k$  (blue) and  $A_s$  (red) obtained from tabulated data (see Figure 4.4). 3rd panel: Skewness (blue) and asymmetry-related (red) sediment transports (normalized by their respective maxima). Lower panel: Single barred bottom profile and position of the bar crest indicated by green dots.



# Wave flume example

- Example comparison Delft3D with Delta Flume test
- Hs= 1.4 m, Tp = 5 s
- Barred profile
- Lots of measurements
- Prototype conditions



# Delft3D

- Process-based model
- 2Dh, 2DV or 3D
- This application 2DV
- Based on wave and roller energy balance, 3D shallow water equations, 3D advection-diffusion equation
- Hydrodynamics and concentrations reasonably well modelled
- Sediment transport rate difficult



# Initial profile





#### Wave and roller energy



# Velocity





#### Sediment concentration





Example: hindcast of Delta Flume test with Delft3D





#### **Concentration profiles**





#### Velocity profiles





#### Delta Flume test 1B



# **UNIBEST-TC**

- Developed at Deltares
- Profile model for cross-shore behaviour
- Includes 1DV return flow model
- Has effect of skewness
- Infragravity waves effect schematized
- Well calibrated for profile behaviour



# Test bank for model validation Boers case 1B

- Flow profiles
- Wave height distribution







# Work by Ruessink, Walstra et al

- Massive calibration of Unibest-TC against profile data at Egmond (NL), Duck (US), Hasaki (Japan)
- Found settings with minor changes between sites
- Skill over weeks to years



#### Ruessink et al, 2007



# Summary

- Profile behaviour depends on a lot of competing processes
  - Return flow
  - Wave skewness and asymmetry
  - Bed slope effect
  - Streaming
  - Long wave-short wave interaction
- Bar growth or decay depends on location of transport peak relative to bar crest
  - Onshore transport: peak seaward of crest leads to growth
  - Offshore transport: peak landward of crest leads to growth
- Bar migration depends on mean transport direction



# Contents

- Overview of problems
- Bed shear stress by waves and current
- Sediment transport by waves and current
- Longshore sediment transport
- Coastline changes
- Cross-shore sediment transport
- Dune erosion



#### Dunes as coastal protection





#### Dune erosion

- Fast process
- Dominated by undertow that brings sand offshore
- Development of steep 'scarp'
- Undercutting by waves followed by slumping of scarp
- Eventually rather flat equilibrium profile



#### Dune erosion behaviour



Fig.8.2.1 Schematic cross section of dunes in the Netherlands.



#### Behaviour in time





### Equilibrium profile Vellinga

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{7.6}{H_0 \text{ sig}} \end{bmatrix} y = 0.47 \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{7.6}{H_0 \text{ sig}} \end{bmatrix}^{1.28} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{w}{0.0268} \end{bmatrix}^{0.56} x + 18 \right\}^{0.5} - 2.00$$

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{H}_0 \text{ sig} : \text{ prototype significant 'deep' water wave height} \\ \text{w} : \text{ fall velocity of bottom particles (= 0.0268 m/s;} \\ D_{50} = 225 \ \mu\text{m}) \\ \text{x} : \text{ distance from the new dune foot} \\ \text{y} : \text{ depth below maximum storm surge level} \end{array}$$

- Based on lots of large-scale tests in wave flumes
- Specific for Dutch circumstances



# Applying method

- Put equilibrium profile over existing profile
- compute area eroded and area accreted
- if eroded>accreted: shift seaward
- if accreted>eroded: shift landward
- find location where eroded=accreted



#### Dune erosion computation



# XBeach - approach

- open source code available for free on internet (<u>xbeach.org</u>)
- easy to use

- Short-wave averaged but long-wave resolving modeling of waves, flow and morphology change in time-domain
- Swash and overwash motions
- Dune erosion, overwashing, breaching and full inundation
- Domain from outside surfzone to backbarrier
- Driven by boundary conditions from surge and spectral wave models



#### Principle sketch - physics





# Formulations

- Wave action balance
- Shallow water equations
- Advection-diffusion equation sediment
- Bed load transport
- Bed updating including avalanching



#### Example: LIP11D Delta Flume tests





#### Profile development





#### Erosion volume and dune retreat

Erosion volume and dune retreat





#### **Overwash case: Santa Rosa Island**





courtesy Dave Thompson, USGS

# Overwash case: Santa Rosa Island

Similar erosion and deposition patterns:

- erosion of dune face
- deposition on island

LIDAR initial bed profile **Base simulation** LIDAR measured erosion and deposition Cross shore position (m) 2000 1600 1200 1000 2000 0

Longshore position (m)





#### XBeach status

- Widely applied worldwide for storm/hurricane/cyclone impacts
- Prepared for official use in safety standards Dutch dunes
- Extended with wave-resolving model
- Find out more at xbeach.org

