Free Surface Hydrodynamics 2DH and 3D Shallow Water Equations Applications

Prof. Dano Roelvink





Numerical models

- Grid types
 - Rectilinear, curvilinear, unstructured
- Discretization
 - Finite difference, finite volume, finite elements
- Solution methods
 - Implicit vs explicit
 - Explicit: hard stability criterion

 $c \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} < 1$





Grid types

• Rectangular









Grid types

• Curvilinear, structured







Grid types

• Unstructured, 'Flexible Mesh'







Numerical methods

- Finite difference
- Finite volume
- Finite element





Finite difference

n

- From partial derivatives to partial differences
- For example: the gradient of c in sdirection
- (i,j+1) (i-1,j)
- Easy to turn a PDE into a finite difference scheme
- Only works on structured grids







S

Finite volume

- Change of contents of a volume is sum of fluxes into volume
- Great for conservation laws
- Example: change of volume of a grid cell
- More difficult derivation
- Can be applied on structured and unstructured grids







Finite element

- Divide model up in small elements, often triangles
- Take field equations, PDEs
- Approximate the field within each element by a function
- Assemble contribution from all elements into a matrix
- Solve matrix
- Mathematically quite complex

DRK
XXX
. 67 -





Applications

- San Francisco Bay & Delta model
- Mekong delta flows, tides, salinity, sediment
- Tidal current modelling (Texel, Singapore)
- Storm surge prediction (Hurricane Ike, North Sea)
- Detailed river modelling (Rhine branches)
- Flooding (USA)
- Water quality modelling
- Morphology modelling (Bangladesh)





Delta Dflow-FM Hydrodynamic Model

 Developed by IHE and Deltares for SF Bay Delta

- New hybrid grid
- 3-dimensional, ocean-to-river

• <u>Houses:</u> hydrodynamics salinity temperature sediment phytoplankton bivalves



Deltares

18

Enabling Delta Life





.76 km

Winter Island

E Browns Island

© 2010 Google © 2010 Europa Technologies Image © 2010 DigitalGlobe Google

Tidal propagation



Mekong delta

- PhD work Thanh Vo
- Part of large ONR project on tropical deltas
- Issues:
 - Flow distribution
 - Water levels
 - Seasonal variation
 - Sediment delivery to coast
 - Salinity intrusion
- Approach:
 - 1D-2DH coupling star-
 - 3D for coast and
 estuaries





Bassac river outflow

- 3D flow and salinit
- Fresh water plume
- Saline intrusion
- Interaction tide and discharge



3D Model of shelf and estuaries

- Structured 3D Delft3D model covers 7 estuarine branches in the Mekong Delta
- Forced by tides, river flow, wind and waves
- Includes salinity and sediment transport processes.
- Validation by satellite imagery
- Derivation of upstream boundary conditions from unstructured delta model





Sediment plumes

Comparison of SSC with satellite imagery



Estuarine circulation

• See animations on www.openearth.nl





Texel, NL



New Feature: Spatial Open-Sea Conditions by Satellite Altimetry

Singapore – SDWA – MustHave Box Handling Ship Traffic – Land Reclamation



Malacca & Singapore Strait



/* Monthly averaged Mean Sea Level (MSL) in January









Example: Hurricane Ike

- A hydrodynamic model has been set up with the Delft3D system running in 2D mode. The hurricane track used in this model was downloaded from <u>http://weather.unisys.com/hurricane/</u>.
- The model predicts surge levels of more than 5 metres above mean sea level in both San Antonio Bay and Matagorda Bay.
- To synthesize the hurricane, the in-house Wind Enhanced Scheme (WES) was used. The WES scheme was originally developed by the UK Meteorological Office based on Holland's model (Holland, 1975).
- The model resolution is 2 km and the bathymetry and land height originates from one minute GEBCO gridded data (<u>http://www.gebco.net/data_and_products/gridded_bathymetry_data</u>











Detailed modelling Rhine branches

Measures:

- Dredging
- Channel narrowing by groyne extension
- Dutch Rhine branches IJssel Neder-Rijn Waal Pannerdensch Kanaal Rotterdam **Boven-Rijn** 50 km Ruhrgebiet
- Measures to correct bend profiles

(main German industrial and urban area)









Use of 2D numerical model

- 1. Model construction
- 2. Hydraulic calibration
- 3. Morphological calibration:
 - i. one-dimensional
 - ii. two-dimensional
- 4. Verification
- 5. Application









Integrated numerical grids



Project 'Cypress Creek, Texas, USA'





Study area













Tropical Storm Allison, 2001



New FEMA Map, based on SOBEK







Integrated SOBEK 1D-2D model







Input data: LiDAR data, ...



• Raw 1-ft LiDAR

Bare Earth 15-ft LiDAR







1998 Flooded Structures Summary, Computed vs. Observed

Address	Ponding in Inches		Demorile
	Observed (1)	Computed ⁽²⁾	Remarks
10502 Katy Hockley	8 -inch	9.6 -inch	Finish Floor Unknown
10866 Katy Hockley	14 -inch	15.6 -inch	Finish Floor Unknown
10870 Katy Hockley	22 -inch	22.8 -inch	Finish Floor Unknown
26253 Sharp Rd	3-inch	4.8 -inch	Finish Floor Unknown
26257 Sharp Rd	Unknown	4.0 -inch	Finish Floor Unknown
27010 Sharp Rd	20 -inch	20.4 -inch	Finish Floor Unknown





Process-based, morphological modelling

> (Delft3D, XBeach)













Texel morphology



Bangladesh Long-term Monitoring and Modelling Project - CEIP

- Objectives:
 - Large-scale tidal propagation and flow distribution: how do the tidal amplitudes vary through the system and how is this expected to change in the future?
 - Sand and fine sediment distribution: how are different sediment fractions distributed, where are they deposited and how will human intervention and climate change affect this?
 - Pathways for fine sediment: how does the fine sediment make its way through the system and how does it end up in the Sundarbans?
 - Morphology of major channels on decadal scales: can we understand the major morphological changes GBM delta, what processes drives them and how will this change under future scenarios?
 - To provide boundary conditions in terms of large-scale bed elevation change and sediment concentrations to smaller-scale models.





Approach

- Macro-scale 2DH model
 - from Confluence to coast and BoB, optionally including Ganges and Jamuna
 - Resolution from 8km to 500m
 - Coarse but fast
- Macro-scale 1D model
 - Covers major branches
 - Good representation of crosssections
 - Lean and mean



 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{600} \\ \mbox{x coordinate (km)} \rightarrow \end{array}$





2010



x coordinate (km) \rightarrow



⊩ v •





2035?



x coordinate (km) \rightarrow



Þν





Silt concentration varying through tidal and seasonal cycle







Validation 2000-2009





Observed

Modelled





Take home messages

- Go look for examples in your own field of interest
- Try to find peer-reviewed publications of the models you consider, don't believe the brochures
- Don't believe the prettiest picture
- Always assume that the model is wrong until proven otherwise





When to use which model

• 1D

- narrow channels, L/W big
- networks of channels easily represented
- fast
- good representation of
- 2DH
 - floodplains
 - important horizontal variations in
 - bathymetry
 - forcing
 - geometry





When to use which model

- Quasi-3D
 - as in 2DH but with modifications to account for spiral flow (river bends) or return flow (surf zone)
 - same computational effort as 2DH (1 layer)
- 3D hydrostatic
 - to resolve variations over the vertical, e.g. for water quality
 - O2, nutrients, sediment concentration, ...
 - in case of vertical variations of forcing, e.g. by density differences (due to salinity, temperature)
 - especially important when there is stratification, e.g. in deep lakes
- 3D nonhydrostatic

- for local problems such as flow around bridge piles



Tsunamis

- Look up examples of studies
- Are shallow water equations used?
- If not, why not?
- Why are they so destructive?





Review papers

Downloaded from http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/ on November 29, 2018

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS A

rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org



Cite this article: Behrens J, Dias F. 2015 New computational methods in tsunami science. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **373**: 20140382. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0382

Accepted: 29 July 2015

Review

One contribution of 14 to a theme issue 'Tsunamis: bridging science, engineering and society'.

Subject Areas:

mathematical modelling, wave motion

New computational methods in tsunami science

J. Behrens¹ and F. Dias²

¹Numerical Methods in Geosciences, Department of Mathematics, University of Hamburg, 20146 Hamburg, Germany
²School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland

Tsunamis are rare events with severe consequences. This generates a high demand on accurate simulation results for planning and risk assessment purposes because of the low availability of actual data from historic events. On the other hand, validation of simulation tools becomes very difficult with such a low amount of real-world data. Tsunami phenomena involve a large span of spatial and temporal scales—from ocean basin scales of $\mathcal{O}(10^7)$ m to local coastal wave interactions of $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$ m or even $\mathcal{O}(10^1)$ m, or from resonating wave phenomena with durations of $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ s to rupture with time periods of $\mathcal{O}(10^1)$ s. The scale gap of five orders of magnitude in

tares

Application papers

JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH, VOL. 116, F01006, doi:10.1029/2010JF001797, 2011

Process-based modeling of tsunami inundation and sediment transport

Alex Apotsos,1 Guy Gelfenbaum,1 and Bruce Jaffe2

Received 8 June 2010; revised 15 November 2010; accepted 30 November 2010; published 10 February 2011.

[1] The infrequent and unpredictable nature of tsunamis precludes the use of field experiments to measure the hydrodynamic and sediment transport processes that occur. Instead, these processes are often approximated from laboratory, numerical, and theoretical studies or inferred from observations of the resultant sediment deposits. Here Delft3D, a three-dimensional numerical model, is used to simulate the inundation and sediment transport of a tsunami similar in magnitude to the 26 December 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami over one measured and three idealized morphologies. The model is first shown to match well the observations taken at Kuala Meurisi, Sumatra, and then used to examine in detail the processes that occur during the tsunami. The model predicts that at a given cross-shore location the onshore flow accelerates rapidly to a maximum as the wavefront passes, and then gradually decelerates before reversing direction and flowing

Types of models

- NSWE (COMCOT, Delft3D, XBeach)
- Boussinesq (FUNWAVE, COULWAVE)
- Nonhydrostatic (SWASH, XBeach-nonh)
- Detailed CFD models (OpenFoam, SPH)







COMCOT

COMCOT: A Tsunami Modeling Package



- Introduction

- Background
- Fault Model
- Applications
- Updates
- Downloads
- Links





Tsunami Generation

Tsunami Propagation

COMCOT (Cornell Multi-grid Coupled Tsunami Model) is a tsunami modeling package, capable of simulating the entire lifespan of a tsunami, from its generation, propagation and runup/rundown in coastal regions.

Waves can be generated via incident wave maker, fault model, landslide, or even customized profile. Flexible nested grid setup allows for the balance between accuracy and efficiency.

The model has been used to investigate several historical tsunami events, such as the 1960 Chilean tsunami, the 1992 Flores Islands (Indonesia) tsunami (*Liu et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1995*), the 2003 Algeria Tsunami (*Wang and Liu, 2005*) and more recently the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami (*Wang and Liu, 2006*).







Cornell University

COMCOT



- Introduction

COMCOT: Background Theory

Governing Equations

- Background

- Fault Model

- Applications

- Updates

- Links

- Downloads

COMCOT was developed based on Shallow Water Equations (SWE) in Spherical Coordinates (*Eq.01*) and Cartesian Coordinates (*Eq.02*). In the equations, ζ denotes free surface elevation; *P* and *Q* are volume flux in *x* and *y* direction (*P*=*hu*, *Q*=*hv*); φ and ψ stand for longitude and latitude, respectively.

 $\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} &+ \frac{1}{R\cos\varphi} \left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial \psi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (\cos\varphi Q) \right] = 0 & \qquad \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} &+ \frac{gh}{R\cos\varphi} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \psi} - fQ = 0 & \qquad \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{P^2}{H} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{PQ}{H} \right) + gH \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + \frac{\tau_x H}{\rho} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} &+ \frac{gh}{R} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \varphi} + fP = 0 & \qquad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{PQ}{H} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{Q^2}{H} \right) + gH \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + \frac{\tau_y H}{\rho} = 0 \end{aligned}$

Eq.01 SWE in Spherical Coord.

Eq.02 SWE in Cartesian Coord.





XBeach 1755 Lisbon tsunami





